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1. Introduction
Dyes are important compound commonly used in various industries such as textile, paper, leather and plastic manufacture [1]. The discharge of dye-containing effluent without proper treatment into water bodies causes both environmental and public health risks [2]. Among the textile dyes most used in industry, methylene blue (MB) or basic blue 9. It is a water-soluble cationic dye and can reveal very harmful effects on living things such as difficulties in breathing, vomiting, diarrhea, nausea and several negative impacts on the aquatic environment [3]. Therefore it is very important to confirm the water quality, since even just 1.0 mg/L of dye concentration in drinking water can impart a significant color, making it unfit for human consumption [4]. Therefore, it is necessary to reduce dyes concentration in wastewater.

Nowadays, various technologies are available for the degradation of pollutants from wastewaters, such as biological treatment [5], biochemical methods [6], membrane separation [7], ion-exchange [8], ultrafiltration [9], electrochemical processes [10], coagulation/flocculation [11], adsorption [12-14] and other processes. In recent years, many scientists are interested in the synthesis of the new adsorbents for removing the organic and inorganic pollutants from wastewaters by the adsorption method. Adsorption has some advantage when compared aforementioned conventional methods in terms the simplicity of utilization, effectiveness, low cost, etc. However, different adsorbents have been investigated for the adsorption of different types of pollutants from water and wastewater, such as Fly ash[15-19], chitosan [20], silica[21],natural phosphate[22], clay minerals [23], activated carbon [24], metakaolin-based geopolymer [25] and fly ash based geopolymer[26,27]. The goal is to find a desirable adsorption material for degradation of hazardous substances from wastewaters. The geopolymeric adsorbents have attracted considerable scientific attention in the field of environmental remediation.
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The term geopolymers was coined by Davidovits 1978 [28, 29]. The geopolymer or known as an inorganic polymer is a new class of synthetic alumina-silicate materials that involves a chemical reaction between alumina-silicate oxides and alkali metal silicate solutions under highly alkaline conditions [30]. Corresponding to different Si/Al ratios, the geopolymers are composed of network structures of polysialate (-O-Si-O-Al-O-), polysialate siloxo (-O-Si-O-Al-O-Si-O-), and polysialate disiloxo (O-Si-O-Al-O-Si-O-Si-O-) [31,32].

In this situation, FAG is a typical example of an abundant material that has been widely used in wastewater treatment. Various authors [33-35] have mentioned the importance of the synthesis the FAG to remove the hazardous substances from wastewater.

The aim objectives of present study were to synthesis the FAG and to examine its effectiveness in the removal of MB from aqueous solution by adsorption. In this context, the effect of various parameters such as adsorbent ratio, pH, contact time, initial dyes concentration and temperature on the adsorption efficiency of MB was evaluated. The adsorption kinetic was analyzed using the pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order and the intraparticle diffusion model. The experimental equilibrium data were examined using Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin–Radushkevich. The thermodynamics of was also determined.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis of FAG

FAG was prepared using FA and alkaline solution. The FA sample used in this study was from thermal coal plant of Jorf lasfar in Morocco. The alkaline activator was synthesized using sodium silicate powder (Honeywell Riedel-de Haën®, Germany; 18 wt.% Na₂O, 63 wt.% SiO₂, 18 wt.% loss on ignition) and sodium hydroxide (ACS AR Analytical Reagent Grade Pellets). The alkali silicate activator was elaborated by mixing the NaOH and Na₂SiO₃ solution at the mass ratio 2.5 and the concentration of NaOH solution was 12 M. The FAG was formulated by mixing fly ash with an alkali silicate solution, with solid-to-liquid ratio of 2.5. The role of the sodium silicate is to support sufficient Si⁴⁺ and improve the formation of geopolymer precursors [36]. The paste was then poured in a cylindrical container for curing at a temperature of 60°C for 24 h, the FAG was obtained treating in ambient temperature for 3 days. The sample was crushed, sieved through sieve to obtain lower fractions (<200μm). Before, the adsorption test. The composition and microstructure of samples were characterized by XRD, FTIR, FX, SEM and TEM microscopy.

2.2. Dye cationic

In the present work, the dye used in all the experiments was MB. The chemical formula and some other specific characteristics of cationic dye are presented in Table 1. The stock solution of MB was prepared of 1000 mg/L in distilled water. The MB used in the work was the analytical grade on (Aldrich Chemistry, Germany).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>λ_{max} (nm)</th>
<th>Molar mass (g/mol)</th>
<th>Molecular structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylene Blue C₁₆H₁₈ClN₃S</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>319,852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Characterization

The fly ash (FA) and fly ash based geopolymer (FAG) were characterized by many physico-chemical methods: The chemical compositions were obtained by X-ray fluorescence using a spectrometer dispersion wavelength - Type Axios. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were obtained using a Xpert Pro model diffractometer equipped with a monochromatic with a source operating Cu-Kα (1.5406 Å). The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the samples were recorded by the KBr pellet technique on a VERTEX 70 FTIR spectrometer, in the spectral range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution. Image of FA was
characterized by a detector type (SUTW-Sapphire, Resolution: 230.89, Lsec: 111). The morphology and structure of the FA and FAG were characterized by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

### 2.4. Batch adsorption tests

Adsorption experiments were performed using 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing desired weight of adsorbent, 100 mL of MB solution and the mixture was stirred gently with speed of 250 rpm. The effects of pH medium, adsorbent mass, contact time, initial concentration of MB and temperature are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2: Summary of adsorption experiments conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigated parameter</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Geopolymer dosage (g)</th>
<th>Contact time (min)</th>
<th>Initial concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geopolymer dosage (g)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact time (min)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20, 30, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial conc. (mg/L)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pH of solution was adjusted using 0.1M NaOH and 0.1M HCl solutions and measured using a Meter Lab, pH M 210 meter. Batch adsorption tests were used to determine the % Removal and the quantity of dye adsorbed of MB onto FAG. After each completed adsorption test, the sample was separated by centrifuge at 2500 rpm for 10 min to separate the solid phase from the liquid phase and the concentration of dye was determined from its UV-Vis absorbance characteristic with the calibration method. The concentration of the solution before and after adsorption was measured using A JASCO V-630 UV/VIS spectrophotometer. The efficiency of Methylene blue (MB), % Removal, was calculated using:

$$
\text{% Removal} = \left( \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_i} \right) \times 100 \quad (1)
$$

Where $C_i$ is the initial concentration (mg.L$^{-1}$), and $C_f$ is the concentration (mg.L$^{-1}$) at any time $t$. 

---

Adsorption capacity at time t, \( q_t \) (mg.g\(^{-1}\)), was obtained as follows:

\[
q_t = \frac{(C_i - C_t)}{m} V
\]  

(2)

Where V (L) is the volume of the solution and m (g) is the mass of FA based geopolymer.

Adsorption capacity at equilibrium, \( q_e \) (mg.g\(^{-1}\)), was calculated using:

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_i - C_e)}{m} V
\]  

(3)

Where \( C_e \) (mg.L\(^{-1}\)) is the equilibrium concentration.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of FA and FAG

3.1.1. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyses

The chemical composition of FA and FAG in this study is shown in Table 3. It is apparent by XRF that FA mainly consists of SiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and CaO. The sum of the SiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) is above 70 wt% of the sample mass is characteristic of Class-F fly ash [37]. After modification by activator solution (geopolymerization), it was found for FAG with a Si/Al ratio lower than 2.4 that the geopolymer had a polysialate-siloxo (PSS) (-Si-O-Al-O-Si-O-) [38,39].

The XRD patterns of FA and FAG are given in Fig.1. The results of XRD analysis of the FA and FAG indicated that quartz (SiO\(_2\)) and mullite (3Al\(_2\)O\(_3\).2SiO\(_2\)) were the chief crystalline phase. After geopolymerization process, the shift of peak towards lower frequencies is due to the formation of new product and the peak between 22° and 28° (2 Theta) indicates the presence of amorphous aluminosilicate gel [40]. The result indicates that the presence the alkali activator leads to the formation of amorphous phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major oxides (wt %)</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>FAG</th>
<th>Major elements (wt %)</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>FAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(_2)O(_5)</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_3)</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on ignition</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)/Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Si/Al</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) Analysis

An infrared analysis was performed on FA and FAG. IR spectrum shown in Fig.2 and all the band assignments are listed in Table 4. IR spectrum showed signification change in position of peaks. The bands appeared in the regions of 1622 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3441cm\(^{-1}\) that were attributed to bending vibrations (H–O–H) and stretching vibrations O–H. The bands appeared in the regions of 457 and 734 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to the vibration mode Si-O-Al and Si–O–Si, respectively. Which confirm the presence of mullite and quartz [41]. After geopolymerization process, the band existing at 1458 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to the stretching vibrations of O–C–O bond occurred in all alkali activated FA samples implying to the presence of the sodium bicarbonate. The shift recorded, to 1075 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig.2.a) and 1005 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig.2.b), is indicative of formation of network in a geopolymer structure [40]. This observation is also supported by the XRD results showing the presence of amorphous phase and the formation of new reaction product.

**Table 3: Chemical compositions of FA and FAG**

**Table 4: FTIR band assignments**

**References**

Figure 1: XRD patterns of FA and FAG (Q: Quartz; M: Mullite)

Figure 2: FTIR specta of FA (a) and FAG (b)

Table 4: FTIR spectrum analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Bands (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>3441, 1622</td>
<td>Stretching and deformation of OH. H–O–H groups from the water molecules</td>
<td>[42-44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>stretching vibration of groups (Si-O)</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>795</td>
<td>Stretching vibration Al-O</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>558</td>
<td>Bending vibration Si-O-Al</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>459</td>
<td>Bending vibration Si-O-Si</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAG</td>
<td>3437, 1650</td>
<td>Stretching and deformation of OH and H–O–H groups from the water molecules</td>
<td>[48-49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>stretching vibration of O-C-O</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>stretching vibration of groups (Si-O)</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>734</td>
<td>Bending vibration Si–O–Si</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>561</td>
<td>Bending vibration Si-O-Al</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>457</td>
<td>Al-O/ Si-O bending vibration</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.3. Scanning electron microscope (SEM/EDX) analysis

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to observe the surface texture of FA and FAG. Fig.3 described a change in the structure of the FA after the polymerization by activating the FA with an alkaline solution. The absence of the spherical particles in geopolymer material synthesized from FA and alkali solution indicates high conversion on FA to crystalline geopolymer. It is clear that the new microstructure of geopolymer played an important role on its adsorption capacity. The FA was analyzed via EDX to quantify the surface chemical elements, as shown in Fig.4.a,b, the major portion of the FA is composed of Si and Al compounds. In addition to TEB analysis, image of FA is shown in Fig.4.c, as can be seen, the particle shapes of the FA were generally spherical and smooth surfaces.

![Figure 3: SEM micrograph of FA (a) and FAG (b)](image)

![Figure 4: EDX micrograph (a), the surface chemical composition (b) and TEB image of FA (c)](image)

3.2. Adsorption the dye onto materials

3.2.1. Effect of adsorbent ratio

Adsorbent ratio is a very important parameter in the determination of adsorption capacity [52] and the effect of quantity of adsorbent in optimization of quantity plays a vital role in adsorption process of MB using FAG. The results for adsorption of MB onto geopolymer are showed in Fig.5. The results revealed that as the percentage of adsorption increase by increasing the quantity of adsorbent in range 0.05 and 0.15 g. After 0.15 g, the maximum removal level is for MB was observed at 0.15 g.
3.2.2. Effect of pH and determination of pH point of zero charge (pHpzc) of FAG

The pH is one of the most important factors controlling the adsorption of dyes onto suspended particles [53]. The effect of pH on the adsorption of MB using FAG was studied by changing initial solution pH values in the range from 2 to 12. The results are presented in Fig. 6.a. It was observed after analyzing Fig. 6.a that the adsorption efficiency increases from 50.45% to 98.25% as pH increases from 2.5 to 11.2. This result suggested that the activated material carried the surface net positive charge below this pH value and it possessed a net negative charge beyond this pH value [54].

For a better illustration of these results, it is required to determine experimentally the point of zero charge (pHpzc). The point of zero charge of FAG was determined as described by the solid addition method using KNO₃ (0.01 M) solution [55, 56]. Initial pH of (0.01M) KNO₃ solutions (pHᵢ) was adjusted from pH 2 to 12 by adding either (0.01 M) HCl or 0.01 M (NaOH). Adsorbent dose 0.1g was added to 100 mL of 0.01 M KNO₃ solution in 100 mL conical flasks and stirred for 24 h of contact time and final pH (pHᵢ) of solution was measured. The difference between the initial and final pH (pHᵢ−pHᵢ) was plotted against the initial pH (pHᵢ) and the point where pHᵢ−pHᵢ= 0 was taken as the pHₚzc. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 6.b. The pHₚzc of FAG determined to be 9.4. At a solution of pH<9.4 the surface becomes positively charged and pH>9.4 the FAG surface is negatively charged. The pH of the system increases and H⁺ ion concentration decreases, the number of the negatively charged sites increase and the number of the positively charged sites decrease.
3.2.3. Effect of contact time
The impact of contact time on the adsorption of MB from an aqueous phase onto FAG was investigated at different time intervals in the range of 0 to 220 min. The result is shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen the removal of adsorption the MB by FAG increases with the increase in time and reaches a maximum value at about 60 min for 20 and 30 mg/L, and the maximum value is observed after 120 min for 40 mg/L, after it remains constant (plateau). The amounts of dye adsorbed at equilibrium are found to be 19.39 mg/g (96.95 %), 29.87 mg/g (99.56 %) and 39.4 mg/g (98.5 %) for 20 mg/L, 30 mg/L and 40 mg/L, respectively. The result obtained in the rapid adsorption of MB significant and the time in the important parameter for adsorption the dye from aqueous phase.

![Figure 7: Effect of contact time on MB onto FAG](image)

3.2.4. Effect of initial dye concentration
The effect of varying concentration of MB on the adsorption capacity of the MB is shown in Fig. 8. As it was already expected, results obtained for the adsorption capacity at equilibrium increases from 4.89 to 36.44 mg/g, with an increase in the initial dye concentration from 5 to 60 mg/L. Following maximum adsorption, the sites of the adsorbent were filled totally with dye molecules (MB) and there are no sites available for binding [57]. Similar trend was obtained in the adsorption of MB on fly ash [58] and sulfonic acid group modified MIL-101 [59].

![Figure 8: Effect of initial concentration on the adsorption capacity](image)
3.2.5. Kinetics of adsorption
Several models have been established to describe the adsorption kinetics and the rate-limiting step of the process. They include models of pseudo-first, second-order kinetic model, model intra-particle diffusion and sorption model Weber and Morris, the relationship of Adam-Bohart Thomas, etc [60]. The adsorption kinetics data of MB using the adsorbent were analyzed with pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models.

**Pseudo-first-order kinetic model**

Pseudo-first order was reported by Lagergren [61], the model is express by (4):

\[
\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t 
\]  

(4)

Where \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) are the adsorption capacity at equilibrium and at time \( t \), respectively (mg/g), \( k_1 \) is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order adsorption (1/min)

**Pseudo-second-order kinetic model**

The pseudo-second order model [62] is given by the equation (5):

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]  

(5)

Where: \( k_2 \) (g·mg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)) is the pseudo-second order rate constant, and \( q_t \) is the amount of MB adsorbed at time \( t \) (min), which was calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot \( t/q_t \) versus \( t \).

**Intraparticle diffusion process**

The intraparticle diffusion equation (6) is expressed as [63]:

\[
q_t = k_i t^{1/2} + I
\]  

(6)

Where \( q_t \) is the adsorption capacity (mg/g) at time \( t \), \( t \) is the contact time (min), \( k_i \) (mg/(g·min\(^{0.5}\))) and \( I \) (mg/g) are the intraparticle diffusion constants.

The experimental data of MB adsorption on FAG were simulated with three models and the results were depicted in Table 5. The correlation coefficients (R\(^2\)) for pseudo-first-order kinetic model are between 0.718 and 0.926, the correlation coefficients (R\(^2\)) for the pseudo-second-order kinetic model are between 0.99 and 1 and the correlation coefficients (R\(^3\)) for intraparticle diffusion model are between 0.513 and 0.993. On the basis of value R\(^2\), the pseudo second order rate model fit best with experimental data. Also, the experimental \( q_e \) is close the calculated \( q_e \), illustrating a strong pseudo-second-model fit the MB adsorption using the FAG, which suggested that the adsorption process is controlled by the chemisorption process. Similar kinetic results were reported for the adsorption of MB onto Spent tea leaves [64] and oil palm (Elaeis guineesis) [65].

**Table 5:** Kinetic parameters for adsorption of MB onto FAG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye C(_0) mg/L</th>
<th>( q_{exp} ) (mg/g)</th>
<th>( q_e ) (mg/g)</th>
<th>( k_1 ) (1/min)</th>
<th>( R_1^2 )</th>
<th>( q_e ) (mg/g)</th>
<th>( k_2 ) (g/mg min)</th>
<th>( R_2^2 )</th>
<th>I (mg/g)</th>
<th>( k_{id} ) (mg/g min(^{0.5}))</th>
<th>( R_3^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 mg/L</td>
<td>19.19</td>
<td>4.007</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 mg/L</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>26.94</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 mg/L</td>
<td>39.55</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>43.47</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.6. Isotherm models of adsorption

Adsorption isotherms were used to describe the mechanism of the interaction of MB on the adsorbent surface. Four models have been adopted in this research, namely, the Langmuir, Freundlich, D–R equilibrium and Temkin isotherm models.
Langmuir isotherm
The assumptions of Langmuir model include: the adsorption comprises the attachment of only one molecular monolayer on adsorbate surface and the ions are adsorbed on a fixed number of well-defined sites, each site can hold one ion, all sites are energetically equivalent and there is no interaction between the ions [66, 67]. The analysis of the isotherm data is important to determine the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent [68]. The form of Langmuir isotherm [69] can be given by the following equation (7):

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where $q_e$ is the amount of dye adsorbed on the adsorbents at equilibrium (mg/g); $C_e$ is the concentration of dye at equilibrium (mg/L); $q_m$ is the maximal amount of dye ion adsorption onto the adsorbents (mg/g); and $K_L$ is the Langmuir constant of adsorption (L/mg).

The essential characteristic of the Langmuir isotherm can be evidenced by the dimensionless constant called equilibrium parameter, $R_L$.

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where $b$ is the Langmuir constant and $C_0$ is the initial MB concentration, $R_L$ values indicate the type of isotherm to be irreversible ($R_L = 0$), favorable (0 < $R_L$ < 1), linear ($R_L = 1$) or unfavorable ($R_L > 1$) [70].

**Freundlich isotherm**

The Freundlich model is applicable to multilayer adsorption on heterogeneous surface [71]. The equation is conveniently used in the linear form as:

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

A linear form of this expression is:

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where $K_F$ (mg$^{(1-a)}$L$^a$g$^{-1}$) is the Freundlich constant and $n$ (g/L) is the heterogeneity factor. The $K_F$ value is related to the adsorption capacity; while $1/n$ value is related to the adsorption intensity.

**The Dubinin–Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm**

The D–R isotherm model is valid at low concentration ranges and can be used to describe adsorption on both homogeneous and heterogeneous surfaces [72]. The linear form of the isotherm can be expressed as follows [73].

$$\ln q_e = \ln(q_m) - K \varepsilon^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $K$ is constant of the sorption energy (mol$^2$/kJ$^2$), and $\varepsilon$ is the Polanyi potential that can be calculated from the equation:

$$\varepsilon = RT \ln(1 + \frac{1}{C_e})$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Where $R$ is the Universal gas constant (8.314 J.mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), $T$ (K) is the temperature and $C_e$ (mg/L) is the equilibrium concentration of MB left in solution. $q_m$ is the theoretical saturation capacity.

The mean energy of sorption, $E$ (kJ/mol), is calculated by the following equation:

$$E = \frac{1}{\sqrt(2K)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The magnitude of $E$ is useful for estimating the mechanism of the adsorption reaction. It the case of $E$<8 kJ/mol, physical forces may affect the adsorption. If $E$ is in the range of 8-16 kJ/mol, adsorption is governed by ion exchange mechanism while for the value of $E$>16 kJ/mol, adsorption may be dominated by particle diffusion [74, 75].

**Temkin model**

The Temkin isotherm has been used in the following form [76].

$$q_e = B_T \ln A_T + B_T \ln C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Where $B_T = R_T / b_T$, $b_T$ is the Temkin constant related to heat of sorption (J/mol), $A_T$ is the Temkin isotherm constant (L/g), $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J/mol K), and $T$ is the absolute temperature (K).

For isotherm models: Langmuir, Freundlich, D–R isotherm and Temkin models were applied to fit the experimental data. The isotherm parameters and the values of the correlation coefficients ($R^2$) are summarized in Table 6. The results show that the value of $R^2$ obtained from Langmuir isotherm equation (0.999) was higher than that from Freundlich (0.694), the D–R isotherm (0.555) and Temkin (0.866). According to the results, the correlation value $R^2$ for Langmuir model indicates that the adsorption MB using FAG data can be adequately modeled by the Langmuir and which indicate that adsorption of MB was made up homogenous surface and monolayer adsorption. This result is similar to other works on MB dye adsorption onto Platanus orientalis [77] and CTN/AC [78]. The maximum uptake capacity for MB removal by FAG was higher with 37.04 mg/g. The separation factor $R_L$ is in the range of 0.05 and 0.56, showing that the adsorption of MB on FAG is favorable.

Table 6: Isotherm parameters for adsorption of MB onto FAG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
<th>Temkin</th>
<th>Dubinin–Radushkevich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Q_m$</td>
<td>$K_L$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$K_F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mg/g)</td>
<td>(L/mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$R_L$</td>
<td>(mg/L) $i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.04</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.05-0.56</td>
<td>18.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.7. Effect of temperature and thermodynamic parameters

**Effect of temperature**

The effect of temperature on dye removal was studied by varying temperatures (20, 50, and 70°C). Dye reduction efficiency with temperature is shown in Fig.9. The adsorption capacity is increased slightly from 37.58 to 39.84 mg/g as the temperature increased from 20 to 70°C. Hence, the solution temperature increase leads to increase the number of active sites available to be adsorbed on the surface [79].

![Figure 9: Effect of temperature on MB dye reduction efficiency by FAG](image)

**Thermodynamic parameters**
Thermodynamic parameters are important in the design of adsorption process. It is necessary to define the change of thermodynamic parameters to predict the feasibility and mechanism of adsorption [80]. The thermodynamic parameters were determined by using following equations:

\[ \Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_d \quad (15) \]

\[ K_d = \frac{C_a}{C_e} \quad (16) \]

\[ \ln K_d = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} \quad (17) \]

Where \( K_d \) is the distribution constant, \( C_a \) is the amount of dye adsorbed on the adsorbent of the solution at equilibrium (mol/L), \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration, \( R \) is the gas constant (J.mol\(^{-1}\).K\(^{-1}\)), \( T \) is absolute temperature (K), \( \Delta H^\circ \) is the standard enthalpy, \( \Delta S^\circ \) is the standard entropy and \( \Delta G^\circ \) is the free energy.

The experimental data obtained at different temperatures are used to calculate the thermodynamic parameters. The values of \( \Delta H^\circ, \Delta S^\circ, \) and \( \Delta G^\circ \) for MB adsorption onto FAG are listed in Table 7. The positive values of \( \Delta H^\circ \) indicate that the adsorption reaction is endothermic, the adsorption processes with \( \Delta G^\circ \) values in the −20 to 0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) range correspond to spontaneous processes [81]. The \( \Delta S^\circ \) has a positive value which means increasing randomness at the solid/liquid interface, through the adsorption process of MB onto FAG reflects randomness nature of process at the solid/solution interface and the affinity of FA based geopolymer for MB adsorption [82, 83].

### Table 7: Thermodynamic parameter for adsorption of MB onto FAG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\circ ) (KJ.mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta S^\circ ) (KJ.mol(^{-1}).K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta G^\circ ) (KJ.mol(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAG</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>44.297</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>-6.681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.8. Comparison of adsorption capacity with different adsorbent reported in literature.

Comparison of maximum monolayer adsorption capacities (based on the Langmuir adsorption isotherm) of MB using various adsorbents were reported in Table 8. The results obtained experimentally in this study are higher than the results obtained by other investigations. This clearly indicates that the FA based geopolymer can be fruitfully used as an adsorbent for cationic dye removal.

### Table 8: Comparison of the maximum adsorption capacity of MB on various adsorbents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Adsorption capacity (mg/g)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perlite</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyacinth root powder</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica nano-sheets derived from Vermiculite</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Zeolite</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic chitosan</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2 ) nanocomposite</td>
<td>53.87</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion
In the present study, new adsorbent has been synthesized and characterized with several techniques such as XRD, XRF, FTIR and SEM. The adsorbent was used for removal of MB from aqueous solution and the influence of several parameters, such as adsorbent ratio, solution pH, concentration of adsorbate, contact time and temperature was investigated. The experimental result indicated that the maximum adsorption of MB dye by FAG occurred at a basic environment. Kinetic studies reveal that FAG can remove MB quickly, within 120 min and the adsorption results indicated that the adsorption kinetics followed a pseudo-second-order kinetics model. The adsorption Langmuir model producing the best results, which indicated that it is monolayer adsorption of MB. The maximum adsorption capacity for MB by the used FAG is 37.04 mg/g. Temperature shows a small influence on the adsorption of MB onto FAG. Thermodynamic parameters calculations confirm that the adsorption of MB onto FAG is a spontaneous, favorable and endothermic process. In the view of these results, it can be concluded that the new adsorbent synthesized by FA and alkaline solution was the preferable choice as excellent adsorbent for the reduction of MB from aqueous solution.
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