Natij et al.



Spatio-temporal patterns of the Microphytoplankton community structure and distribution in a North African lagoon

L. Natij^{*1}, Z. Damsiri¹, A. Chaouti², M. Loudiki³, K. Khalil¹, K. Elkalay¹

¹Laboratory of Applied Sciences for the Environment and Sustainable Development, School of Technology, Essaouira, Cadi Ayyad University. Essaouira Al Jadida, Route d'Agadir, BP 383, Essaouira, Morocco.

2Laboratoire de Biochimie, Nutrition et Valorisation des Ressources Naturelles, Chouaib Doukkali University, Faculty of Sciences, Route Ben Mâachou, BP 20, 24000 El Jadida, Morocco.

3Laboratory of Algology and hydrophytes, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia of Marrakech, Cadi Ayyad University, Bd Prince Mlly Abdellah, BP 511 Marrakech, Morocco.

Received 27 Nov 2015, Revised 06 Apr 2016, Accepted 16 Apr 2016 *Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>natij.laila@gmail.com</u>; Phone: +212 615 924 491

Abstract

The spatio-temporal variability of the mircophytoplankton community was investigated in the Oualidia lagoon (Atlantic-Morocco). Microphytoplankton structure, composition and distribution were analyzed at six sampling stations during an annual cycle (from June 2011 to May 2012), based on phytoplankton net and bottle samples. A total of 126 taxa belonging to 2 divisions were identified, including Bacillariophyceae (80 taxa) and Dinophyceae (46 taxa). The highest species richness (57 taxa) was found in the central zone of the lagoon (whereas the lowest one (41 taxa) was recorded in the most distal to the sea inlet station). The highest mircophytoplankton abundance (2.82 x 10^5 cells L⁻¹) and the lowest (1.53 x 10^5 cells L⁻¹) were measured respectively at the most distal and proximal to the sea inlet stations. The values of H' and J' index showed that the microphytoplankton community never reached a situation of monospecificity and was in equilibrium at the entire lagoon. A diversity model (DIMO) distinctly separated the winter microphytoplankton populations from spring, summer and autumn microphytoplankton populations. The transition from the first to the second group was accompanied by an increase in species richness, diversity and evenness. The rank-frequency diagram revealed the mature character of microphytoplankton populations and thus characterized a stable environment in Oualidia lagoon.

Keywords: Morocco, Atlantic coast, Oualidia lagoon, Marine microphytoplankton, Taxonomic and functional diversities.

1. Introduction

Transitional coastal waters are characterized by specific ecological features (shallowness and relative isolation from the open sea, usually as a result of coastal barriers that maintain some communication channels or inlets [1] [2] and an intrinsic heterogeneity, mainly due to their specific geomorphology, catchment area geology, and geographic location, as well as to differences in freshwater runoff and tidal salt water exchanges [3]. They exhibit high primary and secondary productions that promote the development of extensive fisheries and aquaculture [4] [5]. These transitional coastal waters are considered as vulnerable ecosystems that need particular conservation and management measures, specifically based on the knowledge of their biological and taxonomic diversity [6]. Phytoplankton represents the base of the marine food web. These microorganisms act as primary producers and are the direct food source for other aquatic animals [7] [8]. Several factors such as residence time, depth, salinity and nutrient concentrations control phytoplankton biodiversity and dynamics in transitional coastal waters [9] [10]. Hence, phytoplankton community may serve as a water quality indicator because of its high species richness

and sensitivity to environmental stress [11]. Phytoplankton composition and distribution in Oualidia lagoon on the Atlantic Moroccan coasts have been scarcely investigated and no recent information is available, with the exception of the work of Bennouna [12] [13] on the potentially harmful dinoflagellates in this lagoon. Thus, the present study offers a good contribution to the growing body of knowledge on this lagoonal ecosystem. This work aims at investigating the spatiotemporal variability of phytoplankton community of the Oualidia lagoon. The taxonomic composition and abundances of microphytoplankton cells were studied in order to provide a taxonomic list of species that could be useful to improve our knowledge of this lagoon. This work aims also at establishing a reference situation for this Moroccan Atlantic lagoon ecosystem.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area and sampling stations

The Oualidia Lagoon is located on the Atlantic coast of Morocco, between the El Jadida and Safi cities, at 32°40'42"N-32°47'07"N of latitude and 8°52'30"W-9°02'50"W of longitude. This lagoon has a stretched shape with approximately 7 km length and 0.5 km mean width [14]. It exchanges water with the ocean with a major inlet (lagoon entrance) (Fig. 1). The maximum depth ranges from 6 m, close to the lagoon entrance, to 0.5 m, at the lagoon inner part. The tide currents can reach 77 cm/s during spring tides [15]. The tidal range varies between 2 m, during spring high tides, and 0.6 m, during neap tides. Intertidal areas on both sides of the channels cover about 53% (1.6 km²) of the 3 km² surface area of the lagoon at low tide [16]. Flood tides cover more than 75% (2.25 km^2) of the lagoon surface, bringing salt water up to the inner reaches of the lagoon and into a saline marsh beyond the second dam [17]. The biological and ecological importance of this system was demonstrated by its recognition as an international Ramsar site. Oualidia lagoon supports many aspects of the lives of local people, such as economic, cultural, and community relationships [18]. In the past forty years, Oualidia lagoon is subject to different land uses (rapid urbanization, boating, bathing, camping, agriculture, shell-fishing, cattle rearing) [16] [19] [20] producing various kinds of pollution. At present, most wastes waters discharged to Oualidia lagoon are not treated [18]. Six stations (S1, S2, S3, S4, S5 and S6) were sampled (Fig. 1). Station 1 and Station 2 were located in the downstream zone of the lagoon, Station 3 and Station 4 in the central zone, and Station 5, Station 6 in upstream zone. Distances of stations compared to the reference station (S1) is given in Table 1.

2.2 Sampling and identification of microphytoplankton

Temperature and salinity were measured *in-situ* with a multiparametric sensor (Multi340i WTW82362 Weilheim). Microphytoplankton populations of the Oualidia lagoon were studied bimonthly at the six sampling stations during high tide events from June 2011 to May 2012. 1 L water samples were collected from the surface (~50 cm depth) for quantitative enumeration of microphytoplankton (Abundance, diversity: Shannon-Wiener's index H'; as log2, evenness (Pielou's index J')). Additionally, microphytoplankton samples were collected by towing a 20 µm mesh size net against the current at subsurface level (~50 cm depth) for ten minutes, in order to perform taxonomic study - Species identification in the live samples, collected with net, was performed by light microscopy (Olympus BX40). The species classification was done according to literature [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28]. Microphytoplankton abundance was determined via subsamples (25 ml) fixed in Lugol's solution and settled at least 6 hours according to Utermöhl method [29]. These samples were examined in the laboratory using a Nikon Diaphot 300 inverted phase contrast microscope.

2.3 Microphytoplankton community structure analysis

Data were analysed using a combination of univariate methods. Microphytoplankton community structure was analysed regarding abundance, diversity (Shannon-Wiener's index H'; as log2), evenness (Pielou's index J'), total number of species and frequency coefficient. These variables were estimated for each station and each month. Several indices were used to define the complexity of the phytoplankton community in the Oualidia lagoon. (1) Frequency coefficient: Frequency was calculated with the following equality:

 $F = \frac{Fi}{Ft} \times 100$, where Fi: presence number of i species in total sampling; Ft: total number of sampling of whole species.

(2) The Shannon–Weaver diversity index H' [30]:

 $\mathbf{H'}=-\sum_{i=1}^{S} fi \times \log_2 fi$, where *S* is the species richness and fi is frequency of species i. (3) The Pielou's evenness J' [31] of the distribution of individuals between the taxonomic groups:

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H}' / \mathbf{H}$ maximum $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H}' / \mathbf{log}_2 \mathbf{S}$, where S is the species richness of the community.

(4) The indices (S) as log2, (H') and (J') of each sampling station and season were plotted together on a twodimensional graphic representation in a diversity model called DIMO model [32] and regarded as a synthetic tool. (5) The Rank-frequency diagrams (RFD) were established to describe phytoplankton samples [33]. The species were ranked by decreasing abundance along the x-axis and by relative frequency along the y-axis. To improve the discrimination of the RFD, both axes were represented on a log10 scale.



Figure 1: Location of Oualidia lagoon, position of sampling stations and oyster farming parks.

Stations	Distance from S1 (Km)	Average depth at high tide (m)
S 1	-	3.5
S2	0.5	5
S 3	1	5
S4	3	3
S5	3.5	2
S6	4	2

Table 1: Distances from the reference station (S1) and average depth of sampling stations.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Temperature and salinity

Natij et al.

Temperature varied significantly among stations (ANOVA, F=4.143, p<0.01) and months (ANOVA, F=13.472, p<0.001; Fig. 2). Temperature values ranged from 15.53±0.05 °C (in January) to 23.02±1.26 °C (in September). A decreasing trend was recorded from November (autumn) to March (spring). Salinity showed significant differences among stations (ANOVA, F=4.585, p<0.001) and months (ANOVA, F=7.600, p<0.001; Fig. 2). Salinity showed the lowest value $(24.85\pm0.83 \text{ psu})$ in August and the highest value $(39.37\pm2.92 \text{ psu})$ in October. A downstream-upstream increasing gradient was reported for temperature (S1: 17.1±1 °C; S6: 19.8±3.2 °C) reflecting the influence of the cold ocean waters at the entrance of the lagoon and the decreased depth upstream, which promotes the rapid warming of the waters. Salinity followed a decreasing downstream-upstream gradient (S1: 35.3±1.3 PSU; S6: 31.1±3.7 PSU) which is explained by freshwater masses upstream of the lagoon, reducing the salinity in this part. The range of temperature variation recorded in this study illustrates a pattern classically observed in the arid to semi-arid zone of the northern hemisphere, with warming starting in spring and reaching its maximum in July to August, followed by cooling in January. Temperature and salinity showed stronger temporal variations than spatial ones. The recorded temperature range of surface water was relatively narrow (16-28 °C) for a shallow lagoon (maximum 5 m deep at high tide flowing water) [34]. The temperature values, recorded in this study are consistent with those reported by several authors [35] [36] [12] in the same area. Salinity values obtained in our study can be explained by the homogeneity of the salinity in the rising tides where the values are similar to those of the ocean. The presence of a continuous freshwater input at the upstream zone clearly influences the general development of salinity. Our salinity results are lower than those recorded in the lagoon of Nador in the Moroccan Mediterranean Sea where the normal value of salinity is around 36.7 psu, due of substantially closing the inlet, and secondly the high water deficit in summer [37].



Figure 2: Temporal variability of temperature and salinity for each sampling site in the Oualidia lagoon.

3.2. Microphytoplankton community structure

The microphytoplankton community of the Oualidia lagoon consisted of two dominant groups: Bacillariophycea and Dinophyceae. The first one dominated both qualitatively (63.5%) and quantitatively (80.3%) (Tab. 3). According to Margalef [38], the marine influence permitted the dominance of Bacillariophycea class which was reported to be favoured by vertical mixing of water column. Our results are consistent to those of Bennouna [12] which showed that phytoplankton community in both the Qualidia and the Sidi Moussa (Moroccan Atlantic) lagoon were dominated by Bacillariophycea and Dinophyceae. Similar results have been reported in other studies carried out in transitional water ecosystems in the Mediterranean basin [39], in the Moroccan Mediterranean Sea [40] and in the Moroccan Atlantic coast [41], [42].

Despite its small size and average depth, Oualidia lagoon supports a rather rich microphytoplakton community, in terms of the number of species, when compared with other lagoons: Moulay Bousselham lagoon (35 species) [43]; Massa estuary (105 species) [44]; Ghar El Melh Lagoon (61 species) [45]. A total of 126 microphytoplankton species were found. Bacillariophyceae made up the highest number of species (22 orders, 31 families, 46 genera, 80 species) followed by Dinophyceae (5 orders, 10 families, 14 genera, 46 species) (Tab. 2). Among the species collected in the present work, 46 were newly reported for Oualidia lagoon. Among the microphytoplankton contingent, 10 species previously reported [46] were not encountered during this study; 7 species of Bacillariophyceae and 3 species of Dinophyceae (Tab. 2). This can be explained by the number of stations sampled (6 vs. 4 in 2010) or sampling time (annual cycle vs. 4 months (February to May 2010)). Only nine species (5 Bacillariophyceae and 4 Dinophyceae) made a global contribution exceeding 1% to microphytoplankton community. The dominant Bacillariophyceae species were: (1) Pseudo-nitzschia sp with 15% at S4, (2) Chaetoceros sp with 10.6% at S6, (3) Nitzschia sp with 4.7% at S1, (4) Asterionellopsis sp with 3.5% at S3 and (5) Coscinodiscus centralis with 3.6% at S4. The dominant Dinophyceaes species were: (1) Prorocentrum sp with 4.1 % at S1, (2) Gymnodinium sp with 4.8% at S3, (3) Gyrodinium spirale with 2.7% at S5 and (4) Lingulodinium polyedrum with 4.3% at S6. The richness in algal flora in the Oualidia lagoon can be related to the proximity of the coast and the influence of continental inputs. According to Hennani [20], winds blowing from the northern sector will produce southerly geotropic currents along the coasts, offshore transport and coastal upwelling of nutrient-rich deep waters close to the coasts. It also can be explained by the effect of the tide that remains among the factors influencing phytoplankton abundance lagoon [47] [48]: microphytoplankton assemblage at high tide is richer than at low tide. According to Hennani [20], upwelled waters with high nutrient content can be advected by flood tides into the lagoon, supporting biological production. This was also observed in previous studies at the Oualidia lagoon and the Nador lagoon [49].

	-A-				
Taxonomic groups	Family	Species	This study	Naala (2010)	
Bacillariophyceae	Achnanthaceae	Achnantes longipes C.Agardh	*	*	
		Achnantes sp.	*	*	
	Cocconeidaceae	Cocconeis pellucida Hantzsch	*	*	
		Cocconeis sp.	*	*	
	Bacillariaceae	*	*		
		Cylindrotheca fusiformis Reimann&J.C.Lewin	*	*	
		Cymatonitzschia marina (F.W.Lewis) R.Simonsen	*	*	
		Nitzschia sp.	*	*	
		Psammodictyon panduriforme (W.Gregory) D.G.Mann	*	*	
		Pseudo-nitzschia sp.	*	*	
	Cymbellaceae	Cymbella sp.	*		
	Lyrellaceae	<i>Lyrella praetexta</i> (Ehrenberg) D.G.Mann	*	*	
	Amphipleuraceae	Amphipleura pellucida(Kützing)	*	*	

 Table 2: Comparative analysis of list of microphytoplankton (A: Bacillariophyceae, B: Dinophyceae) established by this study with the previous study of Naala [46] in Oualidia lagoon.

_

	Kützing		
	Frustulia sp.	*	*
Diploneidaceae	Diploneis bombus (Ehrenberg)	*	*
	Ehrenberg		-1-
	Diploneis sp.	*	*
Naviculaceae	Navicula sp.	*	*
Plagiotropidaceae	Plagiotropis sp.	*	*
Scoliotropidaceae	Scoliotropis latestriata (Brébisson		4
	ex Kützing) Cleve		*
Pleurosigmataceae	Gyrosigma sp.	*	*
	Pleurosigma sp.	*	*
Rhopalodiaceae	Epithemia turgida (Ehrenberg)	*	*
	Kützing		-1-
Catenulaceae	Amphora egregia Ehrenberg	*	*
	Amphora sp.	*	*
Anaulaceae	Anaulus creticus Drebes & Schulz	*	
Biddulphiaceae	Biddulphia biddulphiana	*	
	(J.E.Smith) Boyer		
	Biddulphia sp.	*	*
	Biddulphia tuomeyi (Bailey) Roper	*	
	Trigonium alternans (J.W.Bailey)		*
	A.Mann		-1-
	Trigonium sp.	*	
Hemiaulaceae	Cerataulina pelagica (Cleve)	*	*
	Hendey		·
	Cerataulina sp.	*	*
	Eucampia sp.	*	*
	Eucampia zodiacus Ehrenberg	*	
	Hemiaulus sp.	*	
Triceratiaceae	Triceratium dubium Brightwell		*
	Odontella aurita (Lyngbye)	*	*
	C.Agardh		
	Odontella regia (Schultze)	*	
	Simonsen		
	Odontella sp.	*	*
Chaetocerotaceae	Chaetoceros affinis Lauder	*	
	Chaetoceros curvisetus Cleve	*	
	Chaetoceros danicus Cleve	*	
	Chaetoceros decipiens Cleve	*	
	Chaetoceros mitra Cleve		*
	Chaetoceros radicans Schutt	*	
	Chaetoceros sp.	*	*
Leptocylindraceae	Leptocylindrus danicus Cleve	*	*
Paraliaceae	Paralia sulcata (Ehrenberg) Cleve		*
Coscinodiscaceae	Coscinodiscus centralis Ehrenberg	*	
	Coscinodiscus granii Gough	*	

	Coscinodiscus jonesianus (Grunow)	*	
	Hustedt	·	
	Coscinodiscus radiatus Ehrenberg	*	
	Coscinodiscus sp.	*	*
Heliopeltaceae	Actinoptychus sp.	*	
Hemidiscaceae	Hemidiscus sp.	*	
Melosiraceae	Melosira nummuloides C.Agardh	*	*
	Melosira sp.	*	*
Lithodesmiaceae	Ditylum sp.	*	*
	Helicotheca sp.	*	
Rhizosoleniaceae	Guinardia delicatula (Cleve) Hasle	*	
	Guinardia flaccida (Castracane)	*	
	H.Peragallo	T	
	Guinardia sp.	*	*
	Guinardia striata (Stolterfoth)	*	*
	Hasle	*	
	Rhizosolenia crassispina	*	*
	J.L.B.Schröder	*	
	Rhizosolenia hebetata J.W.Bailey	*	*
	Rhizosolenia setigera Brightwell	*	*
	Rhizosolenia sp.	*	*
Lauderiaceae	Lauderia annulata Cleve	*	*
	Lauderia sp.	*	*
Skeletonemaceae	Detonula sp.	*	
Thalassiosiraceae	Thalassiosira anguste-lineata	*	*
	(A.Schmidt) G.Fryxell&Hasle	·	·
	Thalassiosira mendiolana	*	*
	Hasle&Heimdal		-
	Thalassiossira rotula Meunier	*	*
	Thalassiosira sp.	*	*
Fragilariaceae	Asterionellopsis glacialis	*	*
	(Castracane) Round		
	Asterionellopsis sp.	*	*
	Ceratoneis closterium Ehrenberg	*	*
	Fragilaria sp.	*	*
Licmophoraceae	Licmophora sp.	*	
Striatellaceae	Grammatophora marina (Lyngbye)	*	*
	Kützing		-
	Grammatophora undulata	*	*
	Ehrenberg		
	Grammatophora sp.		*
Surirellaceae	Surirella fastuosa Ehrenberg		*
	Surirella sp.		*
Thalassionemataceae	Lioloma sp.	*	
	Thalassionema	*	*
	nitzschioides(Grunow)		

		Mereschkowsky							
		Thalassionema sp.	*	*					
-B-									
Taxonomic groups	Family	Species	This study	Naala (2008)					
Dinophyceae	Amphisoleniaceae	Amphisolenia sp.	*						
	Dinophysiaceae	Dinophysis acuminata	*	*					
		Claparède&Lachmann							
		Dinophysis acuta Ehrenberg	*						
		Dinophysis caudata Saville-Kent	*						
		Dinophysis fortii Pavillard	*						
		Dinophysis hastata Stein	*						
		Dinophysis sacculus Stein	*						
		Phalacroma rapa Jorgensen	*						
		Phalacroma rotundatum							
		(Claparéde&Lachmann) Kofoid&	*						
		Michener							
	Ceratiaceae	Neoceratium arietinum (Cleve) F.							
		Gómez, D. Moreira & P. López-	*						
		García							
		Neoceratium belone (Cleve) F.							
		Gómez, D. Moreira & P. López-	*						
		Garcia							
		Neoceratium breve (Ostenfeld&	<u>ب</u> د						
		Schmidt) F.Gomez,	*						
		D.Moreira&P.Lopez-Garcia							
		(Ehraph) E Cámaz D Maraira &	*						
		(Entend.) F. Gomez, D. Morenta& P. López García							
		Naocaratium furca (Ebrenberg) E							
		Gómez D Moreira& P López-	*	*					
		García							
		Neoceratium fusus (Ehrenberg) F							
		Gómez, D. Moreira& P. López-	*	*					
		García							
		Neoceratium horridum (Gran) F.							
		Gómez, D. Moreira& P. López-	*						
		García							
		Neoceratium karstenii (Pavillard)							
		F.Gomez, D.Moreira&P.Lopez-	*						
		Garcia							
		Neoceratium macroceros (Ehrenb.)							
		F. Gómez, D. Moreira& P. López-	*						
		García							
		Neoceratium massiliens (Gourret)	*						

	F. Gómez, D. Moreira& P. López-		
	García		
	Neoceratium minutum (Jørgensen)		
	F. Gómez, D. Moreira & P. López-	*	
	García		
	Neoceratium sp.	*	
	Neoceratium symmetricum (Pavill.)		
	F. Gómez, D. Moreira& P. López-	*	
	García		
	Neoceratium trichoceros (Ehrenb.)		
	F. Gómez, D. Moreira& P. López-	*	
	García		
	Neoceratium tripos (O.F.Müller) F.		
	Gómez, D. Moreira& P. López-	*	
	García		
Gonyaulacaceae	Alexandrium affine (H.Inoue &		.4.
•	Y.Fukuyo) Balech		*
	Gonyaulax verior Sournia	*	
	Gonyaulax polygramma Stein	*	
	Gonyaulax sp		*
	Lingulodinium polyedrum (F.Stein)		
	Dodge	*	*
Ostreopsidaceae	Ostreopsis sp.	*	*
Gymnodiniaceae	Gymnodinium sp.	*	*
	Gyrodinium spirale (Bergh)		
	Kofoid&Swezy	*	*
Peridiniaceae	Peridinium quinquecorne Abé	*	*
Calciodinelloideae	Scrippsiella sp.	*	*
Peridiniida incertae	Heterocapsa sp.	-14	.1.
sedis	1 1	*	*
Polykrikaceae	Polykrikos sp.		*
Protoperidiniaceae	Diplopsalis sp.	*	*
	Protoperidinium bipes (Paulsen)		
	Balech	*	*
	Protoperidinium cerasus (Paulsen)		
	Balech	*	*
	Protoperidinium depressum		
	(Bailey) Balech	*	*
	Protoperidinium diabolus (Cleve)		
	Balech	*	*
	Protoperidinium sp.	*	*
	Protoperidinium steinii (Jorgensen)	*	*
Prorocentraceae	Prorocentrum cordatum		
	(Ostenfeld) Dodge	*	*
	Prorocentrum gracile Schütt	*	*
	Prorocentrum micans Ehrenberg	*	*

Prorocentrum scutellum Schröder	*	*
 Prorocentrum sp.	*	*
 Prorocentrum triestinum Schiller	*	*

Bacillariophyceae abundance was higher than Dinophyceae abundance at all sampling stations and months, with the exception of S1 in summer (June) where Dinophyceae abundance reached a value of 0.73×10^5 cells L⁻¹ while Baciallariophyceae were 0.64×10^5 cells L⁻¹ in the same station (Tab. 4).

Table 3: Taxonomic composition and proportional representation of microphytoplankton groups at the Oualidia lagoon.

	Genus	Species	%	10 ⁵ cells L ⁻¹	%
Bacillariophyceae	46	80	63.5	28.85	80.3
Dinophyceae	14	46	36.5	7.07	19.7
Total	60	126	100	35.92	100

The distribution of microphytoplankton revealed significant amplitudes of temporal variations (Bacillariophyceae, ANOVA, F=26.66, p<0.001; Dinophyceae, ANOVA, F=10.78, p<0.001) and a relatively high similarity between stations (Bacillariophyceae, ANOVA, F=0.30, p=0.90; Dinophyceae, ANOVA, F=0.90, p=0.50). The rainy period (December, January, and February) is characterized by low algal productivity. All species included, total cell abundance reached its minimum value in winter 4.03 x 10⁵ cells L⁻¹, while the maxima of total cell abundance were recorded in spring with 9.22 x 10⁵ cells L⁻¹ (Tab. 4). This finding was also reported by Bennouna [13], where low phytoplankton cell concentrations coincided with the rainy period (0.12 x 10⁵ cells L⁻¹ in February), while high concentrations were recorded in dry season (1.19 x 10⁵ cells L⁻¹ in June). Comparing our results to those of Benouna [13] total microphytoplankton abundance values in this study is high.

Stations	10^5 cells L ⁻¹							
	Bacillariophyceae				Dinophyceae			
	Summer	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Winter	Spring
S1	0.64	0.96	0.55	1.40	0.73	0.49	0.06	0.46
S2	0.55	0.88	0.52	1.22	0.51	0.39	0.07	0.36
S 3	0.61	0.70	0.70	1.30	0.37	0.37	0.08	0.35
S4	0.53	1.13	0.80	1.04	0.29	0.30	0.07	0.39
S5	0.45	0.99	0.54	1.09	0.36	0.20	0.05	0.34
S6	0.31	0.84	0.49	0.94	0.20	0.18	0.10	0.33
Mean ± SD	0.52 ± 0.12	0.92 ± 0.15	0.60 ± 0.12	1.17 ± 0.17	0.41±0.19	0.32 ± 0.12	0.07 ± 0.02	0.37 ± 0.05
Total	3.09	5.5	3.6	6.99	2.46	1.93	0.43	2.23

Table 4: Cell number abundance of microphytoplankton in the Oualidia lagoon (x 10^5 cells L⁻¹)

The microphytoplankton species richness varied from 11 species (S3 in November and S1 in December) to 103 species (S1 in May; Fig. 3). This change in species richness may be limited by environmental factors such as nutrients [50]. As reported by Natij [51], species richness showed a negative correlation with nitrite. In Oualidia lagoon, the maximum value of nitrite was measured in fall $(5,717\pm0,751 \mu mol. L^{-1})$ and winter $(11,580\pm0,841 \mu mol. L^{-1})$ [52], whereas during the same period, low species richness was reported. Species richness showed significant differences among sampling months (ANOVA, F=271.29, p<0.001) but no significant differences were detected for this variable between sampling stations (ANOVA, F= 0.10, p= 0.99). Species richness decreased from November to February and increased during March at all sampling sites (Fig. 3).

J. Mater. Environ. Sci. 7 (12) (2016) 4419-4434 ISSN : 2028-2508 CODEN: JMESCN

Natij et al.

Microphytoplankton diversity estimated by the Shannon-Wiener's index exhibited a pattern similar to species richness. No significant differences were detected between sampling sites (ANOVA, F=0.05, p=0.99), but microphytoplankton diversity differed significantly between months (ANOVA, F=69.39, p<0.001). Similar to temporal trends of species richness and the Shannon-Wiener's, Pielou's evenness indices reached its minimum value in December and increased in March (Fig. 4). In Oualidia lagoon, all the values of H 'shown in Figure 4 are comprised between 1.09 and 4.33. The lowest value recorded in December at station S4 is caused by the strong proliferation of the genera *Chaetoceros sp* and *Pseudo-nitzschia sp*. The highest value estimated in May at station S1 indicated that the community was very complex this month at all the stations. Furthermore, H' index calculated in the lagoon, never dropped below 1 which was the lower threshold of the range provided by Sournia [17]. This finding enables us to assume that the community never approached a situation of monospecificity during the study period, most probably due to strong hydrodynamics that gives to the system a very high dilution power and wide distribution of nutrients in its entirety. Therefore, several genera, and not only the most opportunist ones, would proliferate and dominate. As a consequence the index of Shannon would never approach 0. In terms of evenness (E), the threshold used by Daget [53] as an index of a stable population (E=0.80) has been reached at all sites during various periods of the year except at station S4 in December where the evenness reached its minimum value (0.37, Fig. 4). According to this result it may be assumed that microphytoplankton assemblage was in equilibrium at the entire lagoon during the largest part of the year.



Figure 3: Temporal variability of the microphytoplankton species richness at sampling sites from June to May in the Oualidia lagoon.



Figure 4: Temporal variability of the Shannon Diversity Index and Pielou's evenness of the microphytoplankton community at the sampling sites from June to May.

Nutrient level and availability should be one of the direct factors that could not only control phytoplankton abundance but also influence the community composition [54]. Besides the dissolved nutrients, many other factors might also influence the species composition, like currents, temperature, feeding pressure by zooplankton and benthos [55]. The results obtained by Natij [51] and the evaluation of statistical relationships between the composition of phytoplankton and the physicochemical environment variables in Oualidia lagoon, showed a positive correlation between dissolved oxygen, total microphytoplankton, Dinophyceae and Bacillariophyceae. Dinophyceae showed a significant negative correlation with salinity value (p<0.01). Number of species displayed also a negative correlation with salinity (p<0.05). A significant positive correlation was founded between temperature and Dinophyceae. Total microphytoplankton, Dinophyceae, species richness and species diversity showed a negative correlation with nitrite, whereas Bacillariophyceae indicated a negative correlation with orthophosphorus [51].

Species richness $(\log_2 S)$, Shannon index (H') and evenness (J) plotted together in the DIMO diagram, showed similar positions of months at all stations (Fig. 5). The two-dimensional representation highlighted a type of evolution 2 "evenness type" reflecting richness and changing diversity from one month to another while the evenness remained constant. The months June, July and August were the closest to the evenness line.



Figure 5: Simultaneous representation of species richness Log2(S), Shannon-Wiener index (H') and Pielou's evenness (J) (=diagonal line), of microphytoplankton community in the six sampled stations in the Oualidia lagoon with the DIMO model

Considering all stations, the DIMO model (Fig. 5) was used to divide the months into two groups. The first groups was less diverse and poorest in terms of species and included the winter months from November to February, while the second consisted of the remaining period from March to October was characterized by the highest values of species richness and diversity.

The spatio-temporal analysis of diversity indices (Shannon-Wiener index "H" and Pielou index "J"), approached by DIMO model [32] provided a synthetic frame of the microphytoplankton community structure in the Oualidia lagoon. The microphytoplankton assemblage during June, July, August, September, October, November, March, april and May was more diverse and better structured than the entity of the December, January, February assemblage.

For a better understanding of the degree of organization of the microphytoplankton population in the Oualidia lagoon, a rank-frequency distribution model was applied. Seasonal changes in the structure of microphytoplankton community clearly appeared on RFD diagrams (Fig. 6).



Figure 6: Rank-frequency diagram of the microphytoplankton community in the Oualidia lagoon. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.

In winter (December, January, February), RFD exhibited the shape of stage 1 (pioneer community) with the dominance of both *Chaetoceros sp* and *Pseudo-nitzschia sp* and low diversity. In spring (March, april, May), the dominant species were more numerous (*Navicula sp*, *Gyrodinium spirale*, *Chaetoceros affinis*) and rare species (*Trigonium sp*, *Rhizosolenia sp*) were less common while diversity presented a maximum (stage 2, mature community). The shape of the summer (June, July, August) RFD indicated a stage 3 (senescent community), characterized by an increase of the most abundant species of phytoplankton population (*Neoceratium furca, Navicula sp, Asterionellopsis glacialis, Neoceratium trichoceros*). Diversity decreased in summer season compared to the spring season. In autumn (September, October, November), the dominant species were more numerous and more uniform in term of abundance (*Pseudo-nitzschia sp, Chaetoceros sp*), whereas abundance and diversity increased slightly. These findings indicate an intermediate stage between stages 1 and 2.

Conclusion

In recent years, a deterioration of water quality of Oualidia lagoon has been reported due to increasing human activities. These activities are increasing without enough consideration of the environmental impacts on biodiversity and other aspects of ecological change. The results of this study show significant amplitudes of temporal variations but no significant differences were detected for distribution of cell abundance of microphytoplankton and species richness between sampling stations. The study of microphytoplankton abundance indicated that the maximum abundance of microphytoplankton occurred in downstream area of the lagoon in summer and spring season. This part of Oualidia lagoon received the waste water (organic matters, nutrients) due to pollution (oyster farming, tourist activity especially in summer) while in rainy season, the maximum abundance in microphytoplankton is reported in upstream area which is due to agriculture pollution (leaching and infiltration of fertilizers). Obtained data from species diversity showed that Oualidia lagoon was in equilibrium at the entire lagoon but not throughout the entire year.

Acknowledgments-The authors gratefully acknowledge M. Khbaya Bouchaib (Director of National Institute for Fisheries Research Oualidia), M. Moutaki Brahim and anonymous reviewers for their scientific suggestions and constructive comments.

References

- 1. Gamito S., Gilabert J., Marcos C., Pérez-Ruzafa A. CRC Press, Boca Ratón, Florida (2005) 193-229.
- 2. UNESCO. UNESCO Tech. papers Mar. Sci. (1981) 33.
- 3. Lugoli F., Fiocca A., Stanca E. Transit. Waters Bull 7 (2013) 124-132.
- 4. Chessa L.A., Paesanti F., Pais A., Scardi M., Serra S., Vitale L. Aquacult. Int 13 (2005) 147–155.
- 5. Perez-Ruzafa A., Mompean M.C., Marcos C. HYDROBIOLOGIA 577 (2007) 107-125.
- 6. Lucena-Moya P., Abrain R., Pardo I., Hermida B., Dominguez M. Transit. Waters Bull 4 (2010) 1-11.
- 7. Ananthan G.A., Sampathkumar P., Soundarapandian P., Kannan L. J Aqua Biol 19 (2004) 67-72.
- 8. Tas B., Gonulol A. J Environ Biol 28 (2007) 439-445.
- 9. Lucas L.V., Koseff J.R., Monismith S.G., Cloern J.E., Thompson J.K. Mar. Ecol Progr 187 (1999) 17-30.
- 10. Ferreira J.G., Wolff W.J., Simas T.C., Bricker S.B. Ecol. Model. 187 (2005) 513-523.
- 11. Murphy K.J., Kennedy M.P., Mccarthy V., O'hare M.T., Irvine K., Adams C. SNIFFER Project Number: W (99)65, Environment Agency R&D Technical Report: E1-091/TR (2002).
- 12. Bennouna A. Mar. Life 10 (1999) 3-18.
- 13. Bennouna A., Berland B., El Attar J., Assobhei O. Oceanol. Acta (2002) 159-170.
- 14. Beaubrun P.C. Bull. Inst. Pêches Maritimes, Casablanca 22 (1976) 13-143.
- 15. Hilmi K. Trav. Doc (2005).
- Zourarah B., Maanan M., Carruesco C., Aajjane A., Mehdi K., Conceição Freitas M. *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sciences* 72 (2007) 359–369. Fifty-year sedimentary record of heavy metal pollution in the lagoon of Oualidia (Moroccan Atlantic coast).
- 17. Sournia A. Éditions du C.N.R.S (1986) Paris.
- Maanan M., Ruiz-Fernández A.C., Maanan M., Fattal P., Zourarah B., Sahabi M. International Journal of Sediment Research 29 (2014) 1-10.
- 19. Maanan M. Environmental Pollution, 153 (2008) 176-183.
- 20. Hennani M., Maanan M., Robin M., Chedad K., Assobhei O. Pol. J. Environ. Stud 21 (2012) 627-634.
- 21. Nezan E. Ed. IFREMER (1996) 78p.
- 22. Tomas C.R. Academic Press. San Diego (1997).
- 23. Faust M.A., Larsen J., Moestrup J. Inter. Council for the Explor Sea Identification Leaflets Plankton 184 (1999) 1–22.
- 24. Matsuoka K., Fukuyo Y. WESTPAC-HAB/ WESTPAC/IOC (2000) Tokyo.
- 25. Hansen G., Turque J., Quod J.P., Ten-Hage L., Lugomela C., Kyewalyanga M., Hurbungs M., Wawiye P., Ogongo B., Tunje S., Rakotoarinjanahary H. *I.O.C. Manuals and Guides* 41 (2001) UNESCO.
- 26. Sar E.A., Ferrario M.E., Reguera B. Inst. Espa inol. Oceanografia (2002) Madrid.
- 27. Kashima K. Laguna 9 (2002) 47-53.
- 28. Koening M.L., Lira C.G. Acta bot. Bras 19 (2004) 391-397.
- 29. Utermöhl H. Limnol 9 (1985) 1-39.
- 30. Shannon C., Weaver W. UIP, Urbana, Illinois (1963) 117p.
- 31. Pielou E.C. Amer. Natur 100 (1966) 463-465.
- 32. Qinghong L. Environ. Monit. Assess 34 (1995) 271-284.
- 33. Frontier S. J. Rech. Océanogr 3 (1976) 35-48.
- 34. Hilmi K., Orbi A., Lakhdar Idrissi J. Bulletin de l'Institut Scientifique, Rabat, section Sciences de la Terre, 31 (2009) 29-34.
- 35. Sabatie M.R., Shafee M.S. Act. I.A.V. Hassan II, Rabat 2 (1982) 74-90.
- 36. Orbi A., Lakhdar J. Trav. Doc, n°82, INRH, Casablanca (1995) 70 p.
- 37. Chbicheb A. Trav. Doc, Univ de Nantes (1996).

- 38. Margalef R., Estrada M., Blasco D. *Toxic Dinoflagellate Blooms. Elsevier, New York* (1979) 89–94. Functional morphology of organisms involved in red tides, as adapted to decaying turbulence.
- 39. Ouldessaib E. Thèse de 3^{eme} cycle. Fac. Des sciences, El jadida (1997) 145 p.
- 40. El Madani F., Chiaar A., Chafi A. Acta Bot. Croat 2 (2011) 269-288.
- 41. Vadrucci M.R., Sabetta L., Fiocca A., Mazziotti C., Silvestri C., Cabrini M., Guardiani B., Konjka E., Evangelopoulos A., Koutsoubas D., Basset A. Aquat. Conserv 18 (2008) 88-104.
- 42. Gallouli E., Aziko J., Badsi H., Oulad Ali H., El hafa M., Aamiri A., Regragui A., Saadi A. J. Mater. Environ. Sci. 5 (S2) (2014) 2375-2380.
- 43. Loumrhari A., Akallal R., Mouradi A., Mouradi A. Afrique SCIENCE 05(3) (2009) 128 148.
- 44. Badsi H., Oulad Ali H., Loudiki M., Aamiri A. American Journal of Human Ecology 1(2) (2012) 58-64.
- 45. Dhib A., Ben Brahim M., Ziadi B., Akrout F., Turki S., Aleya L. Mar. Pollut. Bull. (2013).
- 46. Naala S. Mémoire de Master. Université Ain Chok Casablanca, Maroc (2010) 100 p.
- 47. Daoudi M., Serve L., Rharbi N., El Madani F., Vouve F. Transit. Waters Bull 6 (2012) 4-19.
- 48. Badsi H., Oulad Ali H., Loudiki M., Aamiri A. Am. J Hum Ecol 2 (2012) 58-64.
- 49. Guillocheau N. Thèse de 3^{éme} cycle. Univ, Aix Marseille II (1988) 156p.
- 50. Sekadende B.C., Mbonde A.S.E., Shayo S., Lyimo T.J. Tanz. J Sci 31 (2004) 1.
- 51. Natij L., Damsiri Z., Khalil K., Loudiki M., Ettahiri O., Elkalay K. *International Journal of Advanced Research* 2 (2014) 6 1022-1032.
- 52. Damsiri Z. Thèse de doctorat, Université Cadi Ayyad Marakech, Maroc (2015) 222 p.
- 53. Daget J. Masson ed., P.U.L. Paris Québec (1979) 494p.
- 54. Blanco A.C., Nadaoka K., Yamamoto T. Mar. Environ. Res 66 (2008) 520-535.
- 55. Song X.Y., Huang L.M., Qian S.B., Yin J.Q. Biodivers. Sci. 10 (2002) 258-268.

(2016); <u>http://www.jmaterenvironsci.com/</u>